Synthesis, Structure and Catalytic Activity Comparison of Tris- and Tetracoordinated Lanthanide Amides

XIE, Mei-Hua^a(谢美华) LIU, Xin-Yuan^a(刘心元) WANG, Shao-Wu^{*,a,b}(王绍武) LIU, Li^a(刘莉) WU, Yong-Yong^a(吴勇勇) YANG, Gao-Sheng^a(杨高升) ZHOU, Shuang-Liu^a(周双六) SHENG, En-Hong^a(盛恩宏) HUANG, Zi-Xiang^c(黄子祥)

^a Institute of Organic Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu, Anhui 241000, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China

^c Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matters, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350002, China

Tetracoordinated lanthanide amides $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln (\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3 (Ln=La (1), Pr (2))$ were synthesized by the reaction of anhydrous lanthanide(III) chlorides LnCl₃ (Ln=La, Pr) with 3 equiv. of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide $(Me_3Si)_2NLi$ in THF, followed by recrystallization from toluene. Sublimation of 1 and 2 afforded the triscoordinate lanthanide amides $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln (Ln=La, Pr)$. The crystal structure of 2 was determined by X-ray diffraction analysis. The catalytic activity studies show that the tetracoordinate amides can be used as single-component MMA (methyl methacrylate) polymerization catalysts, while the triscoordinate amides showed poor activity on MMA polymerization under the same conditions.

Keywords synthesis, lanthanide, catalyst, MMA, polymerization

Introduction

The development of lanthanide chemistry has primarily focused on complexes derived from cyclopentadienyl ligands.¹ Recently, considerable efforts have been devoted to the studies of lanthanide complexes supported by cyclopentadienyl-free ligation for their applications as catalyst in the olefin polymerization reaction.² Among various cyclopentadienyl alternatives, amide ligands [NR₂] offer a great potential in ligand design by virtue of their different electronic and steric properties with different R substituents and metal amides have attracted considerable attention over the past 30 years.³ The sterically hindered ligand, bis(trimethylsilyl)amido, was initially introduced to lanthanide chemistry by Bradley and coworkers in 1973, and a series of lanthanide(III or II) complexes containing the ligand have been reported.⁴ Studies show that these lanthanide complexes are active catalysts for the Tishchenko reaction,⁵ ring-opening polymerization of ε -caprolactone,⁶ hy-droamination/cyclization reaction⁷⁻⁹ and olefin polymerization.¹⁰ However, the study on tetracoordinated lanthanide complexes containing bis(trimethylsilyl)amido ligand was limited. Recently, we have reported the rational synthesis of tetracoordinate lanthanide amides $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu$ -Cl)Li(THF)₃ (Ln=Nd, Sm, Eu) and the catalytic activity of these complexes on MMA (methyl methacrylate) polymerization was studied. Results showed that they can function as single-component MMA polymerization catalysts.¹¹ Considering that the complexes of the light lanthanide might have a different activity because of their larger ionic radius, we synthesized $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ [Ln=La (1), Pr (2)] and investigated their catalytic activity. We report herein the synthesis, structure and catalytic activity comparison of low coordinate lanthanide amides.

Experimental

All manipulations of the air- and moisture-sensitive materials were performed under dry argon atmosphere. Solvents were dried with appropriate drying agents (LiAiH₄ or sodium benzophenone ketyl) prior to use. MMA was degassed and dried over CaH₂ overnight and immediately distilled before use. LnCl₃¹² and (Me₃Si)₂-NLi⁴ were prepared by published procedures. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses of the polymer samples were carried out at 30 °C using THF as eluent on a waters-150C instrument and calibrated by using monodispersed polystyrene standards at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Number-average molecular weight and

^{*} E-mail: swwang@mail.ahnu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-553-3883517

Received November 5, 2003; Revised December 26, 2003; accepted March 17, 2004.

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20072001 and 20271003), the Excellent Young Scholars Foundation of Anhui Province and Education Department of Anhui Province.

Tetracoordinated lanthanide amides

polydispersities of polymers were given relative to PS standards. ¹H NMR was recorded on a Bruker AV-300 NMR spectrometer in CDCl₃.¹³

Preparation of $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu$ -Cl)Li(THF)₃ (Ln=La, Pr)

To a stirred suspension of anhydrous $LnCl_3$ (20 mmol) in THF (30 mL) in a 100 mL-Schlenk flask was added freshly prepared solid (Me₃Si)₂NLi (60 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting clear solution was evaporated to dryness under vacuum. Following extraction with hot toluene and removal of lithium chloride by filtration via cannula technique, the solution was concentrated. Crystalline solid was obtained upon standing the solution at 0 °C for several days.

[(Me₃Si)₂N]₃La(μ-Cl)Li(THF)₃ (1) Yield 11.5 g (65%); ¹H NMR ([D₅]pyridine, 300 MHz) δ: 3.63 (t, J=5.94 Hz, 12H), 1.60 (m, 12H), 0.59 (s, 54H); ¹³C NMR ([D₅]pyridine, 75 MHz) δ: 67.63, 25.59, 5.97; IR (film) v: 2959, 1250, 1063, 930 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₃₀H₇₈ClLaLiN₃O₃Si₆: C 41.00, H 8.95, N 4.78; found C 40.67, H 8.78, N 4.83. [(Me₃Si)₂N]₃La was obtained by sublimation of **1** at 100 °C *in vacuo*. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₅₄LaN₃Si₆: C 34.87, H 8.78, N 6.77; found C 34.54, H 8.63, N 6.64.

[(Me₃Si)₂N]₃Pr(μ-Cl)Li(THF)₃ (2) Yield 13.04 g (74%); IR (film) v: 2957, 1249, 1051, 927 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₃₀H₇₈ClLiN₃O₃PrSi₆: C 40.91, H 8.92, N 4.77; found C 40.58, H 8.73, N 4.65. [(Me₃Si)₂N]₃Pr was obtained by sublimation of **2** at 100 °C *in vacuo*. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₅₄N₃PrSi₆: C 34.75, H 8.75, N 6.75; found C 34.57, H 8.56, N 6.48.

MMA polymerization procedures

MMA polymerization reactions were performed in a 50 mL-Schlenk flask and an external temperature-controlled bath on a Schlenk line. In a typical procedure, the catalyst (20—50 mg) was loaded into the Schlenk flask and solvent was added. After the external bath temperature was stabilized, MMA was added through a gas-tight syringe. The polymerization was quenched with methanol after a measured interval. The polymer product was precipitated into 50 mL of methanol, filtered, washed with methanol, and dried in vacuum at 50 °C to a constant weight.

X-ray structure determination

A suitable crystal of $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Pr(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ with dimensions of 0.92 mm×0.76 mm×0.48 mm was mounted in a sealed capillary and used for Xray diffraction analysis. Diffraction data were performed on a Siemens SMART CCD area-detector diffraction with graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.071073 nm), temperature 293(2) K, φ and ω scan technique. SADABS empirical absorption was applied in data reduction. Structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97), completed by subsequent difference Fourier synthesis, and refined by full-matrix leastsquares calculations based on F^2 (SHELXS-97). The hydrogen atoms were assigned to calculated positions, riding on adjacent carbon atoms with $U(H)=1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for hydrogen atoms on methyl groups and $U(H)=1.2_{eq}U(C)$ for the remaining hydrogen atoms. All the nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The crystal and data collection parameters for complex **2** are listed in Table 1.

Table 1Crystal and data collection parameters for complex 2

Empirical formula	C ₃₀ H ₇₈ ClLiN ₃ O ₃ PrSi ₆				
Formula weight	880.79				
T/K	293(2)				
λ /nm Mo K α	0.071073				
Crystal system	momoclinic				
Space group	I 2/a				
<i>a</i> /nm	2.54035(11)				
<i>b</i> /nm	1.64729(7)				
c/nm	2.75065(19)				
β/(°)	90.9670(10)				
V/nm ³	11.509(11)				
$D_{\text{calcd}}/(\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3})$	1.017				
Z	8				
<i>F</i> (000)	3728				
2θ range	1.60—25.05				
μ/mm^{-1}	1.042				
Reflections collected	17252				
Unique reflections	9979				
Parameters	406				
$R[I \geq 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0714				
wR_2	0.1607				
Goodness-of fit	1.170				

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3 [Ln=La (1), Pr (2)]$

The metathesis reaction of anhydrous $LnCl_3$ with 3 equiv. of $LiN(SiMe_3)_2$ in THF gives, followed by recrystallization from hot toluene, the title complexes $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu$ -Cl)Li(THF)₃ (Ln=La (1), Pr (2)) in good yield. The neutral homoleptic lanthanide derivatives $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln$ (Ln=La, Pr) can be obtained by sublimation from the "ate-complexes" (Scheme 1). All the complexes were fully characterized by elemental

Scheme 1

LnCl₃+ 3[(Me₃Si)₂N]Li $\xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$ [(Me₃Si)₂N]₃Ln(μ -Cl)Li(THF)₃+2LiCl

sublimation 100 °C, *in vacuo* [(Me₃Si)₂N]₃Ln Ln = La (1), Pr (2)



Figure 1 Molecular structure of $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Pr(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ (2).

and spectroscopic analyses. The structure of complex **2** was elucidated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

The crystal structure of complex 2 is shown in Figure 1. The selected bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table 2. Figure 1 shows that the praseodymium is surrounded by three nitrogen atoms of bis(trimethyl)silyl groups and a chlorine atom, which bridges the lithium and praseodymium. The coordination number of Pr is 4. The bond angles of Cl-Pr-N(1), Cl-Pr-N(2), and Cl-Pr-N(3) are 99.46(18)°, 98.52(17)°, and 98.45(18)°, respectively. The bond angles of N(1)-Pr-N(2), N(1)-Pr-N(3), and N(2)-Pr-N(3) are $118.3(3)^{\circ}$, $115.8(2)^{\circ}$, and 119.0(2)°, respectively. These values slightly differ from those found in $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ (Ln=Sm, Eu¹¹ and $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3NdOC_4H_8$.¹⁴ The values of N-Pr-N found in $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Pr(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ are close to the corresponding values found in three-coordinate pyramidal complexes $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln$ (Ln = Nd,¹⁵ Eu,¹⁶ Yb^{4b}).

Table 2 Selected bond lengths ($\times 10^{-1}$ nm) and bond angles (°) of complex **2**

Pr—N(1)	2.335(6)	Cl-Pr-N(1)	99.46(18)
Pr—N(2)	2.361(6)	Cl-Pr-N(2)	98.52(17)
Pr—N(3)	2.360(6)	Cl-Pr-N(3)	98. 45(18)
Pr—Cl	2.724(2)	Li-Cl-Pr	175.7(5)
Cl—Li	2.341(18)		

The bond angle of Li-Cl-Pr is 175.7(5)°, indicating that the chloride-bridge is almost linear. The bond angle of Li-Cl-Pr is larger than that $[(170\pm2)^{\circ}]$ found in

 $Ln{N[Si(Me)_2CH_2CH_2Si(Me)_2]}_3(\mu-Cl)Li(L)_3 (L=THF)$

or Et_2O)¹⁷ but close to that found in $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3$ -Ln(μ -Cl)Li(THF)₃ (Ln=Sm, Eu).¹¹ The average bond length of Pr—N is 0.2352 nm, which is longer than the corresponding Ln—N in $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu$ -Cl)Li(TH-F)₃ (Ln=Sm, Eu).¹¹ These may be due to the difference of the ionic radius.

MMA polymerization

The catalytic activities of the title complexes as single component MMA polymerization catalysts were investigated. The polymerization reactions were carried out in a series of solvents and the polymerization reactions were quenched with acidic methanol after a measured interval. The microstructures of the polymers were analyzed by ¹H NMR spectra. The polydispersities of the polymers were determined by GPC technique. It was found that some of PMMA (polymethylmethacrylate) could not be dissolved in THF or passed through GPC column. The results are summarized in Tables 3, 4.

The solvent effects on MMA polymerization reaction were studied. The results are summarized in Table 3. It is found that complexes 1 and 2 can act as single component catalysts in THF, DME and toluene. The activities of these catalysts are solvent dependent. It was found that complexes 1 and 2 showed higher activities in polar solvent such as THF and DME, but behave poor activities in solvent toluene due to the poor solubility of the catalysts in the solvent. The results indicate that the polar solvents may be favorable to the initiation and propagation process. The polydispersities of polymers are also dependent on the solvents used. When the polymerization reactions were carried out in THF or DME, high syndiotactic (ca. 60%) PMMA were obtained. These results are similar to those observed from a catalytic system [(Me₃Si)₂N]₃Ln(μ -Cl)Li(THF)₃ (Ln=Nd, Sm, Eu),¹¹ $[Me_2C(2,7-t-Bu-Flu)(Cp)]YCH(TMS)_2^{18}$ and $Me_2Si(Flu)(Cp)LnE(TMS)_2 (Ln=Dy, Er, E=CH, N).^{10b}$

Temperature effects on MMA polymerization reaction were further investigated. Results show that the activities of catalysts, molecular weight of the polymers, and molecular weight distribution were temperature-dependent. The catalysts **1** and **2** showed the highest activities at -30 °C, while $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu-Cl)-Li(THF)_3$ (Ln=Nd, Sm, Eu) showed the highest activities at 0 °C.¹¹ It is found that the molecular weight and the molecular weight distributions of polymers slightly increase as the MMA polymerization temperature decreases (Table 3).

For optimized reaction conditions (solvent: THF; temperature: -30 °C), the effects of catalysts and MMA monomer molar ratios on MMA polymerization were studied (Table 4). As the ratios changed from 1 : 200 to 1 : 700, the activities of the catalysts slightly decreased probably due to the existence of a very small amount of impurities. The stereoregularity and molecular distribution slightly changed as the ratios changed. However, the catalyst **1** is almost inactive when the ratio reaches 1 : 1000.

For comparison of the catalytic activity between the tetracoordinate and triscoordinate lanthanide amides, the catalytic activity of those neutral triscoordinate lanthanide amides $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln$ (Ln=La, Pr) was also studied. It is found that the tetracoordinated lanthanide amides showed good activities, but the corresponding

Tetracoordinated lanthanide amides

Table 3	Solvent and	emperature	effects on	the MMA	polymerization ^a

Catalyst	Solvent	<i>T</i> /°C	rr^{b}	mr ^b	mm^b	$M_{\rm w} \times 10^{-3}$	$M_{\rm n} \times 10^{-3}$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$	Conversion/%
1 (La)	THF	25	52	39	9	31.4	20.0	1.57	72
		0	55	35	10	73.8	34.5	2.14	70
		-25	59	33	8	114.9	46.6	2.47	93
	DME	30	57	37	6	12.1	9.5	1.27	2
		0	65	30	5	33.8	14.5	2.33	35
		-30	63	30	7	94.8	41.4	2.29	40
	toluene	30	23	25	52	35.0	17.6	1.98	<1
		0	28	28	44	57.7	23.9	2.41	3
		-30	32	33	35	87.9	49.3	1.78	16
2 (Pr)	THF	30	56	37	7	37.8	125.9	1.46	27.4
		0	61	33	6	212.3	114.2	1.86	97
		-30	65	30	5	303.3	137.0	2.21	100
	DME	30	54	36	10	24.0	18.8	1.28	14.3
		0	62	32	6	54.7	35.9	1.52	34.3
		-30	66	27	7				45.4
	toluene	30	24	38	38				6
		0	30	36	34				16.4
		-30	39	29	32				11

^{*a*} Conditions: cat. : MMA (mol : mol=1 : 700; MMA : solvent (V : V)=1 : 2; polymerization time: 40 min for 1 and 3 h for 2. ^{*b*} rr: content of syndiotactic PMMA; mr: content of atactic PMMA; mm: content of isotactic PMMA.

Catalyst	Ratio	rr^{c}	mr ^c	mm ^c	$M_{\rm w} \times 10^{-3}$	$M_{\rm n} \times 10^{-3}$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$	Conversion/%
1 (La) ^{<i>a</i>}	1000							trace
	700	59	33	8	114.9	46.6	2.47	93
	500	65	30	5	121.6	52.9	2.30	98
	200	64	30	6	100.5	45.3	2.21	100
2 (Pr) ^{<i>b</i>}	2000							trace
	1500	60	34	6	170.0	95.7	1.78	15
	1000	63	31	6	116.3	69.8	1.67	51
	700	63	32	5	107.8	62.1	1.74	75
	500	59	35	6	77.8	45.6	1.71	96
	200	63	32	5	30.5	22.8	1.34	100

^{*a*} Conditions: solvent: THF, temperature: -30 °C, polymerization: 40 min, MMA : solvent=1 : 2 (*V* : *V*), ratio=catalyst : MMA monomer molar ratio. ^{*b*} Temperature: 0 °C, polymerization time: 1 h, MMA : solvent=1 : 3 (*V* : *V*), other conditions are the same as those in *a*. ^{*c*} *rr*: content of syndiotactic PMMA; *mr*: content of atactic PMMA; *mm*: content of isotactic PMMA.

triscoordinate lanthanide amides were almost inactive under the same conditions. From the results it is suggested that the active species of the catalyst $[(Me_3Si)_2-N]_3Ln(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ (Ln=La, Pr) may not be the triscoordinate lanthanide amides $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_3Ln$ (Ln=La, Pr) through the Ln(μ -Cl)Li linkage broken.

In conclusion, the synthesis and catalytic activities of two tetracoordinate light lanthanide amides $[(Me_3-Si)_2N]_3Ln(\mu-Cl)Li(THF)_3$ (Ln=La, Pr) were reported. These complexes can function as single-component MMA polymerization catalysts. The results showed that the catalytic activities are solvent-, temperature-, and

the catalyst/monomer molar ratio-dependent. The corresponding sublimates of triscoordinate lanthanide amides showed a poor activity in comparison with the tetracoordinate lanthanide amides under the same polymerization conditions.

Supplementary material

Crystallographic data (including structure factors) for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publications no. CCDC-221101. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK;

Fax: +44-1223-336-033; E-mail: <u>deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u> or www: <u>http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u>).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jiping Hu and Baohui Du for their help in running the NMR and IR spectra.

References

- (a) Edelmann, F. T. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, Eds: Abel, E. W.; Stone, F. G. A.; Wilkinson, G., Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford, **1995**.
 (b) Evans, W. J. *Polyhedron* **1987**, *6*, 803.
- (a) Britovsek, G. J. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Wass, D. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 428.
 (b) Ittel, S. D.; Johnson, L. K. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1169.
 (c) O'Shaughnessy, P. N.; Knight, P. D.; Morton, C.; Gillespie, K. M.; Scott, P. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1770.
 (d) Roesky, P. W.; Gamer, M. T.; Puchner, M.; Greiner, A. Eur.-J. Chem. 2002, 41, 3554.
- 3 Kempe, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 468.
- 4 (a) Bradley, D. C.; Ghotra, J. S.; Hart, F. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton, Trans. 1973, 1021.
 (b) Eller, P. G.; Bradley, D. C.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Meek, D. W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1977, 24, 1.
- 5 (a) Berberich, H.; Roesky, P. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1569.

(b) Bürgstein, M. R.; Berberich, H.; Reosky, P. W. *Eur.-J. Chem.* **2001**, *7*, 3078.

- 6 Hultsch, K. C.; Spaniol, T. P.; Okuda, J. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4845.
- 7 Tian, S.; Arredondo, V. M.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2568.
- 8 Ryu, J. S.; Marks, T. J.; Mcdonald, F. E. Org. Lett. 2002, 3, 3091.
- 9 Arredondo, V. M.; McDonald, F. E.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1999, 18, 1949.
- (a) Hou, Z.; Koizumi, T.; Nishiura, M.; Wakatsuki, Y. *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 3323.
 (b) Qian, C. T.; Nie, W. L.; Sun, J. *Organometallics* 2000, *19*, 4134.
- Zhou, S. L.; Wang, S.; Yang, G. S.; Liu, X. Y.; Sheng, E. H.;
 Zhang, K. H.; Cheng, L.; Huang, Z. X. *Polyhedron* 2003, 22, 1019.
- 12 Taylor, M. D.; Carter, C. P. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1962**, 24, 387.
- 13 Bovey, F. A.; Mirau, P. A. *NMR of polymers*, Academic press, San Diego, California, **1996**.
- 14 Edelmann, F. T.; Steiner, A.; Stalke, D.; Gilje, J. W.; Jagner, S.; Håkansson, M. *Polyhedron* **1994**, *13*, 539.
- Anderson, R. A.; Templeton, D. H.; Zalkin, A. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978, 17, 2317.
- 16 Ghotra, J. S.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Welch, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 1.
- 17 Just, O.; Rees, W. S. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 1751.
- 18 Yasuda, Y.; Ihara, E. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 1995, 196, 2417.

(E0311056 SONG, J. P.)